UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/685,838	10/10/2000	Bruce Wayne Moore	RSW9-2000-0053	4600	
7590 05/02/2007 Esther H Chong Esquire Synnestvedt & Lechner LLP 2600 Aramark Tower 1101 Market Street			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			GRAHAM, C	GRAHAM, CLEMENT B	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Philadelphia, P.			3692		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/02/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

			•
		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		09/685,838	MOORE ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Clement B. Graham	3692
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAMES OF THE MAILING DAMES OF THE MAILING DAMES OF THE MONTHS FROM THE MAILING DAMES OF THE MONTHS FROM THE MAILING DAMES OF THE MONTHS FROM THE MAILING DEPARTMENT OF THE MONTHS OF THE	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
1)⊠ 2a)⊠ 3)□	This action is FINAL . 2b) This	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pr	
Disposit	ion of Claims		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□	Claim(s) 34 and 35 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 34 and 35 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or ion Papers	vn from consideration.	
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ot	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage
Attachmen	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summan	v (PTO 413)
2) Notic 3) Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	4) interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	Date

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 34-35 is currently pending in this Application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112: The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention. Claim 34 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

3. In particular, Claim 34, lines 8-9 states "pre-solving using a computer the plurality of anticipated financial portfolio optimization problems" which represents "stored optimal solutions", it is unclear what is the meaning of "pre-solving" because in the this step the data is already stored ...". For further examination, the examiner interprets the limitation in light of this 112, second rejection.

In particular, Claim 34, line 10 states "compiling", it is unclear what is the meaning of "what is it you are compiling" and what is the result of the compilation ...". For further examination, the examiner interprets the limitation in light of this 112, second rejection.

In particular, Claim 34, lines 16-17, states "solving the current financial optimization problem using the stored data groups the solving step including the step of", first it is unclear what is the meaning of the current financial optimization problem "where was it inputted and if you are solving the current financial portfolio optimization problem why did you pre-store the optimization solutions ...". For further examination, the examiner interprets the limitation in light of this 112, second rejection.

In particular, Claim 34, line 20, states "determining whether or not the selected data group contains optimal solutions", it is unclear how one would know it is "optimal?" ...". For further examination, the examiner interprets the limitation in light of this 112, second rejection.

Claim 35 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In particular, Claim 35, lines 9-11 states " an optimization unit in said computer said optimization comprising means for pre-solving using a computer the plurality of anticipated financial portfolio optimization problems" which represents "stored optimal solutions", it is unclear what is the meaning of "pre-solving" because in the this step the data is already stored ...". For further examination, the examiner interprets the limitation in light of this 112, second rejection.

In particular, Claim 35, line 10 states "means for compiling the plurality of data groups based on the results of the pre-solving", it is unclear as to what is the meaning of compiling and what is it you are compiling" and what is the result of the compilation ...". For further examination, the examiner interprets the limitation in light of this 112, second rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 34-35, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sampson et al (Hereinafter Sampson U.S. Patent No. 5, 802, 499) in view of Hausman et al (Hereinafter Hausman U.S Patent 6, 086, 619.

As per claim 34, Sampson discloses a computer-implemented method for solving a current portfolio optimization problem comprising the steps of:

Storing on a computer, a plurality of data groups, each associated with one of a plurality of anticipate portfolio optimization problems (Note abstract and see column 13 lines 15-53 and column 23 lines 27-67 and column 24 lines 1-57) each of the data groups including optimal solutions to a corresponding anticipated portfolio optimization problem see column 13 lines 15-53 and column 23 lines 27-67 and column 24 lines 1-57) each of the data groups further including input values and intermediate calculation values associate with the corresponding anticipated portfolio optimization problem (see column 13 lines 15-53 and column 23 lines 27-67 and column 24 lines 1-57) pre-solving(see

Application/Control Number: 09/685,838

Art Unit: 3692

column 58 lines 14-67 and column 59 lines 1-43) using said computer, the plurality of anticipated portfolio optimization problems and compiling, using said computer, the plurality of data groups based on the results of the pre-solving step (see column 58 lines 14-67 and column 59 lines 1-43 and column 62 lines 64-67 and column 63 lines 1-33) preparing and storing on said computer, a plurality of look-up tables for identifying each of the plurality of data groups, the plurality of look-up tables containing equation names, RHS (Right Hand Side) values, and objective values pertaining to le plurality or anticipate portfolio optimization problems (see column 10 lines 58-67 and column 11 lines 1-14) solving, using said computer, the current portfolio optimization problem using the stored data groups the solving step including the steps of: selecting, using user-defined functions, at least one of the stored plurality of data groups using the look-up tables (Note abstract and see column 1 line 65 and column 4 lines 1-65 and column 5 lines 1-20 and column 40 line 45 and column 10 lines 58-67 and column 11 lines 1-14) and determining whether or not the selected data group contains optimal solutions to the current portfolio optimization problem (see column 3 line 65 and column 4 lines 5-35) wherein, if the determining step determines the selected data group contains optimal solutions to the current portfolio optimization problem, then the optimal solutions included in the selected data group are output as optimal solutions to the current portfolio optimization problem(Note abstract and see column 1 line 65 and column 4 lines 5-65 and column 5 lines 1-20) and wherein, if the determining step determines that the selected data group does not contain optimal solutions to the current portfolio optimization problem, then the selected data group is modified using a search method, and the current portfolio optimization problem is iteratively solved using the modified data group to obtain optimal solutions to the current problem. (see column 3 line 65 and column 4 lines 51-35). Sampson fail to explicitly teach financial.

However Hausman discloses the QUADCOSTS construct allows the modeler to specify quadratic cost elements or bilinear cost elements which are proportional to the product of two (possibly non-unique) specified flows. Use of this capability allows representation of risk adjusted return optimization problems, e.g., portfolio optimization

Application/Control Number: 09/685,838

Art Unit: 3692

subject to linear constraints. Other example QUADCOST uses include production problems where unit price decreases linearly with the quantity produced, production problems where unit price decreases or remains constant with increases in production of other products (substitutability among products), production problems where unit cost of an input resource decreases linearly as the quantity of the resource is increased; etc.(see column 10 lines 46-58).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Sampson to include financial taught by Hausman in order to perform optimization on a financial portfolio.

As per claim 35, Sampson discloses a system for solving a current portfolio optimization problem comprising.

a storage unit("i. e, database server") in a computer, storing a plurality of data groups("i. e, data structures") each associated with one of a plurality of anticipated portfolio optimization problem (i. e, input queries"). (Note abstract and see column 1 line 65 and column 4 lines 1-65 and column 5 lines 1-20 and column 40 line 45) each of the data groups including optimal solutions to a corresponding anticipated portfolio optimization problem ("i. e, generating plan") each of the data groups further including input values and intermediate calculation values associated with the corresponding anticipated portfolio optimization problem (see column 19 lines 35-43 and column 4 lines 30-67 and column 5 lines 1-20) and an optimization unit in said computer, said optimization comprising:

means for pre-solving the plurality of anticipated portfolio optimization problems ("i. e, generating solution to each sub-problem" see column 2 lines 30-65) means for compiling the plurality of data groups based on the results of the pre-solving(see column 19 lines 35-43) means for preparing and storing (Note abstract and see column 1 line 65 and column 4 lines 1-65 and column 5 lines 1-20 and column 40 line 45) a plurality of look-up tables for identifying each of the plurality of data groups. the plurality of look-up tables containing equation names.(see column 4 lines 15-29 and column 10 lines 58-67 and column 11 lines 1-14) RHS (Right Hand Side) values, and objectives values pertaining to the plurality of anticipated portfolio optimization problems

(see column 10 lines 58-67 and column 11 lines 1-14) means for solving the current portfolio optimization problem using the stored data groups, the solving means including:

means for selecting, using user-defined functions, at least one of the stored plurality of data groups using the look-up tables(Note abstract and see column 1 line 65 and column 4 lines 1-65 and column 5 lines 1-20 and column 40 line 45 and column 10 lines 58-67 and column 11 lines 1-14) and means for determining whether or not the selected data group contains optimal solutions to the current portfolio optimization problem (see column 3 line 65 and column 4 lines 5-35) wherein, if the determining means determines that the selected data group contains optimal solutions to the current portfolio optimization problem, then the optimal solutions included in the selected data group are output as optimal solutions to the current portfolio optimization problem .(see column 3 line 65 and column 4 lines 51-35) and wherein if the determining means determines that the selected data group does not contain optimal solutions to the current portfolio optimization problem, then the selected data group is modified using a search method, and the current portfolio optimization problem iteratively solve using the modified data group to obtain optimal solutions to the current problem. (see column 3 line 65 and column 1 line 65 and column 4 lines 1-65 and column 5 lines 1-20). Sampson fail to explicitly teach financial.

However Hausman discloses Netcore is a method, implemented in software, for efficiently expressing optimization problems which can be solved with network, linear, integer, mixed integer linear, and quadratic programming techniques. Every Netcore representation of a problem may include a network, linear, integer, mixed integer, or mixed integer linear programming problem where each integer variable has a finite number of possible values and with optional quadratic and bilinear terms in the objective function (hereinafter collectively referred to as MILPQ programs); it can also be proven rigorously that every MILPQ program can be expressed in Netcore. The Netcore representation uses directed graphs and associated data with certain numeric fields for the nodes and links, and a few simple but powerful constraint mechanisms.(see column 4 lines 2-65).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Sampson to include financial taught by Hausman in order to perform optimization on a financial portfolio.

Conclusion

RESPONSE TO ARGUMENTS

- 6. Applicant's arguments files on 01/23/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive for the following reasons.
- 7. In response to applicant's arguments regarding Sampson and Hausman.
- 8. In response to Applicant's arguments that Sampson and Hausman fail to teach or suggest "pre-solving anticipated financial portfolio optimization problems and the additional limitations relating to the pre-solving, storing results the pre solving and utilizing the pre-solved solutions to solved current problems and pre solving anticipated portfolio optimization problems and storing the results of these pre solved calculations so that they may be used to speed up the process of solving a current portfolio optimization problem needed and performing optimization on a financial portfolio management or network optimization techniques can be applied to credit exposure management" the examiner disagrees with Applicant's because these limitations were addressed as stated,

Sampson teaches Storing ("i. e, database server") on a computer, a plurality of data groups ("i. e, data structures") each associated with one of a plurality of anticipate portfolio optimization problems ("i. e, input queries") Note abstract and see column 1 line 65 and column 4 lines 1-65 and column 5 lines 1-20 and column 40 line 45 each of the data groups including optimal solutions ("i. e, generating plan") to a corresponding anticipated portfolio optimization problem, each of the data groups further including input values and intermediate calculation values associate with the corresponding anticipated portfolio optimization problem see column 19 lines 35-43 and column 4 lines 30-67 and column 5 lines 1-20 pre-solving ("i. e, generating solution to each subproblem" see column 2 lines 30-65 using said computer, the plurality of anticipated portfolio optimization problems Note abstract and see column 1 line 65 and column 4 lines 1-65 and column 5 lines 1-20 and column 40 line 45 and

compiling, using said computer, the plurality of data groups based on the results of the pre-solving see column 19 lines 35-43 preparing and storing(Note abstract and see column 1 line 65 and column 4 lines 1-65 and column 5 lines 1-20 and column 40 line 45 on said computer, a plurality of look-up tables("i. e, database tables") for identifying each of the plurality of data groups, the plurality of look-up tables containing equation names.see column 4 lines 15-29 and column 10 lines 58-67 and column 11 lines 1-14 RHS (Right Hand Side) values, and objective values pertaining to le plurality or anticipate portfolio optimization problems see column 10 lines 58-67 and column 11 lines 1-14 solving, using said computer, the current portfolio optimization problem using the stored data groups the solving step including the steps of: selecting, using user-defined functions, at least one of the stored plurality of data groups using the look-up tables Note abstract and see column 1 line 65 and column 4 lines 1-65 and column 5 lines 1-20 and column 40 line 45 and column 10 lines 58-67 and column 11 lines 1-14 and determining whether or not the selected data group contains optimal solutions to the current portfolio optimization problem see column 3 line 65 and column 4 lines 5-35 wherein, if the determining step determines the selected data group contains optimal solutions to the current portfolio optimization problem, then the optimal solutions included in the selected data group are output as optimal solutions to the current portfolio optimization problem Note abstract and see column 1 line 65 and column 4 lines 5-65 and column 5 lines 1-20 and wherein, if the determining step determines that the selected data group does not contain optimal solutions to the current portfolio optimization problem, then the selected data group is modified using a search method, and the current portfolio optimization problem is iteratively solved using the modified data group to obtain optimal solutions to the current problem. see column 3 line 65 and column 4 lines 51-35.

Hausman discloses the QUADCOSTS construct allows the modeler to specify quadratic cost elements or bilinear cost elements which are proportional to the product of two (possibly non-unique) specified flows. Use of this capability allows representation of risk adjusted return optimization problems, e.g., portfolio optimization subject to linear constraints. Other example QUADCOST uses include production

Application/Control Number: 09/685,838

Art Unit: 3692

problems where unit price decreases linearly with the quantity produced, production problems where unit price decreases or remains constant with increases in production of other products (substitutability among products), production problems where unit cost of an input resource decreases linearly as the quantity of the resource is increased; etc.(see column 10 lines 46-58).

Therefore it is obviously clear that Applicant's claimed limitations were addressed within the teachings of Sampson and Hausman.

Further Applicant's is just storing data and the steps includes storing optimal solutions to a corresponding anticipated financial portfolio optimization problems and the pre solving step uses the plurality of anticipated financial portfolio optimization, how can you pre solved a problem when you already store the solutions to the problem.

9. With respect to Applicant's that the examiner fail to establish Prima Facie Case of obviousness, Examiner respectfully submits that obviousness is not determined on the basis of the evidence as a whole and the relative persuasiveness of the arguments. See In re Oetiker, 977F. 2d 1443, 1445,24 USPQ2d 1443, 1444 (Fed. Cir. 1992); In re Hedges, 783F.2d 1038, 1039, 228 USPQ* 685, 686 (Fed. Cir.1992); In re Piaseckii, 745 F.2d 1468, 1472, 223 USPQ 785, 788 (Fed. Cir. 1984); In re Rinehart, 531 F.2d 1048, 1052, 189 USPQ 143, 147 (CCPA 1976). Using this standard, the Examiner respectfully submits that he has at least satisfied the burden of presenting a prima facie case of obviousness, since he has presented evidence of corresponding claim elements in the prior art and has expressly articulated the combinations and the motivations for combinations that fairly suggest Applicant's claimed invention. Note, for example, in the instant case, the Examiner respectfully notes that each and every motivation to combine the applied references are accompanied by select portions of the respective reference(s) which specially support that particular motivation and /or an explanation based on the logic and scientific reasoning of one ordinarily skilled in the art at the time of the invention that support a holding of obviousness. As such, it is not seen that the Examiner's combination of references is unsupported by the applied prior art of record. Rather, it is respectfully submitted that explanation based on the logic and scientific reasoning of one of ordinarily skilled in the art at the time of the

Application/Control Number: 09/685,838 Page 10

Art Unit: 3692

invention that support a holding of obviousness has been adequately provided by the motivations and reasons indicated by the Examiner, Ex pane Levengood, 28 USPQ2d 1300(Bd. Pat. App &.,4/293 Therefore the combination of reference is proper and the rejection is maintained.

10. The Examiner apologies for typographical error by miss stating the Graefe for Sampson.

November 3, 2003

10. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Clement B Graham whose telephone number is 703-305-1874. The examiner can normally be reached on 7am to 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hyung S. Sough can be reached on 703-308-0505. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-0040 for regular communications and 703-305-0040 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

CG

April 24, 2007

Frantzy Poinvil Primary Examiner Art Unit 3628